

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/735,549	KOLK ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Nghia M. Doan	2825	

All Participants:**Status of Application: RCE**(1) Nghia M. Doan. (3) _____.(2) Mr. Alan Christenson (Reg. 54,036). (4) _____.**Date of Interview:** 10 August 2006**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1, 14, and 26

Prior art documents discussed:


*None***Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


A. M. Thompson
Primary Examiner
Technology Center 2800

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner discussed with Applicant representative about 35 USC 101 issue of tangible result and practical application of claimed invention. Furthermore, Examiner suggests that the indicated allowance subjected matter in the office action mailed on 04/05/2006 should be incorporated into claims 1 and 26. All the issues have been resolved after the interview and Applicant submitted the Supplemental Amendment filed on 08/15/2006. .